

Wildlife Habitat Fund of Southeast Idaho Project Scoring Sheet

Summary of Scores All HIT Members		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20.0	10.0	20.0	20.0	15.0
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	10.0
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)	18.0	20.0	10.0	10.0	20.0
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)	12.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)	16.0	8.0	17.0	13.0	12.0
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)	20.0	20.0	20.0	11.0	18.0
8)	Monitoring (15 points)	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	9.0
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)	10.0	3.0	10.0	8.0	9.0
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)	19.0	9.0	15.0	11.6	14.4
TOTAL		155.0	113.0	141.0	122.6	121.4
RANK		1.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	4.0

Scores for Shoshone-Bannock Tribes (SBT)		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20	10	20	20	15
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15	15	20	20	10
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)					
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)					
5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)					
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)					
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)					
8)	Monitoring (15 points)					
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)					
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)					

Scores for Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ)		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20	10	20	20	15
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15	15	20	20	10
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)	20	20	10	10	20
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)	20	0	0	0	5

5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)	10	10	10	10	10
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)	20	10	20	20	10
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)	20	20	20	20	10
8)	Monitoring (15 points)	15	15	15	15	15
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)	10	0	10	10	10
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)	20	5	15	18	10

Scores for Idaho Department of Fish & Game (IDFG)		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20	10	20	20	15
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15	15	20	20	10
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)	20	20	10	10	20
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)	20	20	20	20	10
5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)	10	10	10	10	10
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)	20	15	20	5	10
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)	20	20	20	5	20
8)	Monitoring (15 points)	15	15	15	15	5
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)	10	5	10	5	10
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)	20	15	15	10	17

Scores for Idaho Department of Lands (IDL)		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20	10	20	20	15
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15	15	20	20	10
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)					
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)					
5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)					
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)					
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)					
8)	Monitoring (15 points)					
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)					
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)					

Scores for Bureau of Land Management (BLM)		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20	10	20	20	15
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15	15	20	20	10
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)	20	20	10	10	20
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)	0	0	0	0	0

5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)	10	10	10	10	10
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)	15	10	15	10	15
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)	20	20	20	0	20
8)	Monitoring (15 points)	15	15	15	15	5
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)	10	5	10	5	10
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)	20	10	15	5	15

Scores for US Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS)		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20	10	20	20	15
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15	15	20	20	10
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)	20	20	10	10	20
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)	20	0	0	0	5
5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)	10	10	10	10	10
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)	10	5	15	10	10
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)	20	20	20	10	20
8)	Monitoring (15 points)	15	15	15	15	15
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)	10	5	10	10	5
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)	15	5	15	10	10

Scores for US Forest Service (USFS)		1-Blackfoot River Watershed Restoration (IDFG)	2-Yago Aspen Regeneration & Fuels Reduction (BLM)	3-Tincup Creek Restoration Phase III (TU)	4-Blackfoot River Fisheries Habitat Improvement (TU)	5-Ephraim Aspen Enhancement (USFS)
1)	Proximity to the Rasmussen Valley Mine Project (20 points)	20	10	20	20	15
2)	Matching partnership funds (20 points)	15	15	20	20	10
3)	Habitat enhancement (20 points)	10	20	10	10	20
4)	Urgency: Habitat Protection from development (20 points)	0	0	0	0	0
5)	Track Record of project success (10 points)	10	5	10	10	10
6)	Project promotes landscape-scale connectivity (20 points)	15	0	15	20	15
7)	Lifespan (durability) of expected benefits (20 points)	20	20	20	20	20
8)	Monitoring (15 points)	15	15	15	15	5
9)	Wildlife benefit versus cost (10 points)	10	0	10	10	10
10)	Stakeholder Preference (20 points)	20	10	15	15	20

